OPTOGAMA | LASERS4INDUSTRY

Medium-Range Laser Rangefinding up to 10 km

High-repetition-rate 1.54 µm Er:glass transmitters, multi-shot processing and system-level design trade-offs

TECHNICAL WHITE PAPER | October 2026

A scientific and engineering assessment for OEM developers and system integrators evaluating direct time-of-flight rangefinders that trade single-pulse energy for higher pulse cadence and multi-shot processing.

EXECUTIVE TAKEAWAY

For medium-range direct-ToF systems, a high-repetition architecture can provide many statistically useful observations of a target in a short measurement window. Multi-pulse accumulation can improve detectability of weak returns when noise is not fully correlated, but it does not create optical energy or guarantee range. Achievable range remains a system-level result set by transmitter energy and divergence, receiver aperture and noise, target properties, atmosphere, timing, processing, probability of detection and false-alarm criteria.

1. Why the medium-range regime is different

A pulsed laser rangefinder estimates distance from optical round-trip time. In its simplest form, $R = c\Delta t/(2n)$, where c is the vacuum speed of light, n is the path-averaged group refractive index, and Δt is the measured delay between transmission and return.

At ranges approaching several kilometres, return strength becomes a central design constraint. Pulse energy, projected spot size, atmospheric transmission, target cross-section and surface reflectivity determine how much optical energy is available to the receiver. Receiver aperture, optical throughput, detector sensitivity, bandwidth and processing determine whether that return can be distinguished from background and electronic noise.

The high-repetition-rate design philosophy differs from a low-rate, mJ-class architecture. Instead of maximizing the information carried by one shot, the system can acquire a sequence of returns and estimate range from an ensemble of observations. This enables averaging, coherent or non-coherent accumulation, histogram-based detection or track filtering, subject to the target dynamics and the statistical properties of the noise.

2. Direct-ToF architecture for high-repetition ranging

Subsystem	Function	Critical design considerations
Er:glass transmitter	Generate short near-IR pulses	Pulse energy, pulse width, PRF, stability, trigger behavior, thermal load
Beam expander / collimator	Set transmitted divergence and spot size	Aperture, optical quality, boresight, thermo-mechanical stability
Transmit/receive alignment	Maintain overlap between beam and receiver FOV	Calibration, parallax, vibration and temperature drift
Receiver telescope	Collect target return	Clear aperture, throughput, stray-light rejection
Spectral filter	Reduce solar/background radiation	Transmission, bandwidth, angle and temperature sensitivity
Photodetector / front end	Convert weak return to electrical signal	Responsivity, noise, gain, bandwidth, recovery and saturation
Timing / ADC / TDC	Measure return delay	Timing jitter, sampling, dynamic range, dead time
Multi-shot processor	Combine repeated observations	Registration, thresholding, accumulation, false-alarm control, target motion
Thermal / mechanical design	Preserve optical and laser stability	Heat rejection, alignment retention, shock and vibration

The pulse repetition frequency defines the available observation cadence. For a regular pulse train, PRF is the number of emitted pulses per second. Higher PRF therefore creates more ranging opportunities per unit time, but usable multi-shot gain depends on receiver recovery, target dynamics, processing latency and the independence of the noise between observations.

3. Multi-shot measurement: what it can and cannot do

3.1 Accumulation and detection

Published laser-ranging research has demonstrated digital acquisition of weak echoes followed by multi-pulse superposition to improve signal-to-noise ratio. The physical rationale is straightforward: when successive measurements contain a repeatable target return while a significant part of the noise is uncorrelated, combining registered observations can increase the statistical separation between signal and noise.

For ideal independent, zero-mean noise and perfectly registered identical returns, averaging N samples reduces the standard deviation of the averaged noise by approximately $\sqrt{N}$. This is a statistical reference case, not a guaranteed rangefinder improvement. Real systems deviate because of speckle, scintillation, background variation, pointing jitter, timing walk, target motion, detector nonlinearity and correlated electronic noise.

3.2 Measurement window and update rate

A higher transmitter PRF is not identical to the delivered range-update rate. A system may use several pulses to form one validated range estimate. The chosen accumulation depth therefore trades update latency against processing gain and robustness. Fast-moving targets also limit how many returns can be combined without motion compensation or tracking.

3.3 Ambiguous returns and pulse association

At higher PRF, the receiver and timing architecture must associate each detected return with the correct transmitted pulse. This becomes a system design problem when the pulse interval is comparable with the optical round-trip time, or when multiple targets and delayed returns are present. Timing gates, coding, processing logic or PRF selection may be used, but the implementation must be verified for the intended range and target scene.

4. Range is a link-budget result

The useful range of a direct-ToF sensor cannot be assigned from pulse energy alone. A representative radiometric model includes transmitted pulse energy, beam divergence and target overlap, target reflectivity and orientation, two-way atmospheric transmission, receiver aperture, optical efficiency and detector threshold or noise. Public LIDAR engineering literature explicitly treats effective range as a function of photoreceiver sensitivity and returned optical signal strength, with laser pulse energy, atmosphere and target properties among the governing parameters.

Parameter	Effect on medium-range performance
Pulse energy	Sets optical energy available per observation; lower energy places more burden on divergence, receiver sensitivity and accumulation.
PRF	Sets available pulse cadence and number of observations per time window.
Pulse width	Influences peak power and timing waveform; estimator resolution also depends on receiver bandwidth and processing.
Beam divergence	Controls spot growth and irradiance on a finite target.
Receiver aperture	Determines collected return energy for the optical geometry.
Detector / front-end noise	Sets the minimum distinguishable return together with bandwidth and threshold strategy.
Target geometry / reflectivity	Changes the fraction of transmitted energy redirected toward the receiver.
Atmosphere	Adds two-way attenuation and can introduce turbulence/scintillation.
Processing depth	Can improve statistical confidence, but increases latency and requires stable registration.

Accordingly, “up to 10 km” should be treated as an application objective that must be demonstrated under specified conditions, not as an intrinsic property of a laser head. A publishable system-range claim should state target type and reflectivity, visibility or atmospheric model, transmitter divergence, receiver aperture and detector, detection probability, false-alarm criterion, measurement window and processing method.

5. Why 1.54 µm Er:glass is relevant

OPTOGAMA’s current 2026 laser-family collateral identifies passively Q-switched Er:glass platforms at 1534 nm, including the high-repetition-rate KAUKAS HR. The same collateral lists $M^2 < 2$, TEM00 operation and a −20 to +60 °C operating-temperature range shared by the three Er:glass families presented there.

The 1.5 µm spectral region is widely used for eye-safe rangefinding architectures, but wavelength alone does not establish the laser-product safety class. Accessible emission, beam geometry, pulse parameters, repetition pattern and operating modes must be assessed for the complete product under the applicable laser-safety standard.

6. OPTOGAMA platform fit for high-repetition architectures

Released 2026 OPTOGAMA collateral provides a direct product match for the high-repetition concept: the KAUKAS HR Er:glass platform. Its published parameters are summarized below. These values describe the laser transmitter, not a complete rangefinder.

Parameter	KAUKAS HR - released 2026 collateral
Wavelength	1534 nm
Pulse energy	>30 µJ
Pulse width	<7 ns
Repetition rate	100 Hz - 1 kHz
Beam quality	$M^2 < 2$
Beam profile	TEM00
Module size	111 × 34 × 25.5 mm
Operating temperature	−20…+60 °C
Published application positioning	Scanning LIDAR

The user-defined concept of pulse energies up to 50 µJ overlaps the tens-of-microjoule regime, but the released OPTOGAMA source reviewed here confirms only >30 µJ for KAUKAS HR. It does not publish a 50 µJ maximum or a complete-system 10 km range. Those two claims therefore require product-level or system-test verification before publication.

For comparison, released 2026 collateral positions standard KAUKAS at >2 / >3 mJ with low repetition rates and RANGER at >1.5 mJ with 5 / 10 Hz operation. KAUKAS HR is the explicitly released OPTOGAMA option in the 100 Hz–1 kHz regime, making it the technically relevant starting point when pulse cadence is a primary architectural requirement.

7. Engineering implications of 100 Hz–1 kHz operation

Thermal management. At high duty cadence, pump and laser efficiency convert part of the input energy into heat. Temperature gradients can affect gain, passive-Q-switch dynamics, alignment and beam delivery. The thermal path therefore becomes part of the optical design rather than a packaging afterthought.

Pulse stability. Multi-shot algorithms benefit from predictable pulse timing and energy. Variation changes the statistical weight of individual echoes and should be included in detection modelling and acceptance testing.

Receiver recovery. A high pulse cadence is only useful if detector, transimpedance electronics and timing logic recover fast enough and avoid saturation from near-field or bright-target returns.

Beam delivery. At kilometre-scale distance, small changes in divergence translate into substantial changes in illuminated area. Transmitter collimation, boresight and thermal stability should therefore be specified together with source parameters.

Timing and synchronization. The source trigger, detector front end and processing clock define the timing chain. Jitter and systematic delay must be characterized at system level if absolute range accuracy is important.

Scene dynamics. Multi-shot accumulation assumes sufficient stability of the target return during the integration window. Platform motion, target motion, pointing jitter and speckle can reduce the expected statistical gain.

8. Relevant OPTOGAMA capabilities

Approved OPTOGAMA company material states capabilities in custom laser modules and gain assemblies, beam expanders and divergence compensators, optical and optomechanical design, precision optics, IBS/IAD/E-beam coatings, CNC machining, clean-room assembly, metrology, and environmental/shock-vibration testing. These capabilities are relevant to integrating a high-repetition transmitter into a stable OEM rangefinding subsystem.

Capability	Role in an OEM medium-range LRF
Laser source / custom module engineering	Adapt optical, mechanical and electrical interfaces around the sensor architecture.
Beam expanders / divergence compensation	Set transmitter beam size and divergence to the target/link budget.
Precision optics and coatings	Optimize transmission, filtering and stray-light performance at the system wavelength.
Optomechanical design	Maintain boresight, focus and alignment across mechanical and thermal loads.
Metrology and testing	Measure beam quality, spectral behavior, environmental response and mechanical robustness.
Prototype-to-manufacturing support	Move from engineering evaluation toward controlled assembly and test when requirements are frozen.

9. Representative integration concept

A practical architecture starts with the pulse source and trigger, followed by beam expansion/collimation and the transmit aperture. The receive path uses a telescope, spectral filtering, a fast near-IR photodetector and analog front end, then timing or sampled-waveform electronics. A processor registers returns to transmitted pulses, rejects invalid detections, performs multi-shot accumulation or estimation, and outputs a validated range together with confidence/status information. Mechanical and thermal design maintain transmitter/receiver boresight and calibration.

The correct partition between hardware and processing depends on the required update rate, target dynamics, day/night background, receiver sensitivity, false-alarm requirement and SWaP envelope. This is why a high-PRF transmitter should be selected together with the receiver and estimator architecture rather than as an isolated component.

10. What must be verified to claim “up to 10 km”

- Target class, projected target area, surface reflectivity and incidence angle.
- Visibility / atmospheric extinction and day/night background conditions.
- Transmitter pulse energy distribution, pulse width, PRF, divergence and boresight stability.
- Receiver clear aperture, optical throughput, filter bandwidth and detector/front-end noise.
- Detection probability, false-alarm probability and threshold/estimator definition.
- Number of pulses per estimate, measurement-window duration and delivered update rate.
- Range accuracy, precision and bias across signal level and temperature.
- Near-field behavior, saturation/recovery and multiple-target handling.
- Environmental and mechanical test conditions appropriate to the finished instrument.

Without these conditions, a distance figure is not scientifically reproducible and should not be presented as guaranteed performance.

Sources and evidence

Type	Source / relevance
OPTOGAMA company capability	OPTOGAMA company description. Confirms custom laser modules, beam delivery, precision optics/coatings, optomechanical engineering, in-house manufacturing and test capabilities.
Scientific / engineering	Burns, Christodoulou & Boreman, Optical Engineering 30(3), 323–329 (1991), “System design of a pulsed laser rangefinder.” Discusses radiometry, receiver noise/SNR, detection, bandwidth and high-PRF medium-range requirements.
Scientific / engineering	Shi et al., IEEE conference paper, “Study on multi-pulse long range laser ranging system” (2017). Reports digital echo acquisition and multi-pulse coherent superposition for weak-return SNR improvement.
Engineering reference	Allegro MicroSystems, “Lidar Effective Range.” Reviews ToF ranging and dependence of effective range on pulse energy, atmosphere, target properties, receiver sensitivity and optical geometry.
Photonics reference	RP Photonics Encyclopedia, “Pulse Repetition Rate.” Defines PRF and provides general Q-switched repetition-rate context.